

Fri, 8 Mar at 10:35 AM

So I only ever wanted expulsion undone, name cleared of extremist, Nazi, bigot accusations & not to go into debt. He did nothing but double cross me, lie, delay, delay, lie, waste my time etc.

Obviously I have been damaged so badly & my trust is so broken that I'll not ever again accept conditional re entry, plus i added damages & aggravated damages which I 100% deserve. Nobody has any idea just how malicious & deceitful that man is. But they will.

All off record

The offer of a simple revision from parl party is always still there. My case with him is seperate & depending on discovery etc prob Southwick too.

 All off record

He refused to support a simple rescission of the expulsion motions. He said that he & the team would never accept a rescission because of my 'fringe' sex based rights advocacy. I pointed out that that proves my contention he was expelling me for that & not Nazi associations which is malicious defamation. And so that was the end of the negotiations because I will not let those motions stand.

Background off record

So in my view he's been withholding the key to settling this for his own benefit- ie the leadership- (my one vote)

The whole entire time.

You could ask him if he has considered whether he himself has brought the Liberal Party into disrepute.

You could just flat out ask him whether he still thinks I have unacceptable associations with Nazism & vile bigotry (from his original press release). Or whether he still maintains those Nazis attended my rally. Or whether he still maintains I did not sufficiently distance myself from Nazism when asked to do so by leaders.

Edited

Because that's his whole case

All off record

You can confirm these things with KW who was liaising

The party was previously united behind Ted Baillieu, Denis Naphine and Guy (twice, amazingly), in the manner of footy bosses declaring themselves and the whole club united behind unfortunate coaches right before the mid-season *coup de grâce*.

The upcoming defamation case launched by expelled Liberal, and now independent MP Moira Deeming, who alleges Pesutto painted her as a Nazi sympathiser after she attended a rally where black-clad neo-Nazis also turned up, promises to very nearly rival a Collingwood-Carlton match in terms of potential get-squares.

Up to a third of the Liberal Party's Victorian MPs – which is to say, about 10 – are reportedly being subpoenaed to give evidence in Deeming's case.

Just the thing a beleaguered leader needs.

This is provably false btw. They did not attend my rally nor I theirs. Your paper is already about receive a complaint. I would ask you don't publish the same false claim. If you need evidence you can Google them saying so themselves, plus there will be more in my trial.

Edited

Sun, 10 Mar at 1:47 PM

Hi Moira. The story is running tomorrow. In it, Pesutto claims that he has done everything within his power to settle your claim against him. I know from talking to you and people familiar with your case that you dispute this, and the story will reflect that. Is there anything you want to say for the record on this point, or are you happy to be a "declined to comment"?

Need to decline to comment, as the case is now underway I've been instructed not to by my lawyers. Sorry. Otherwise I would. But this is my whole problem- he runs his lies in the party & the media & I have to continue to sit on evidence that would destroy him & exonerate me just in case he comes to his senses & I can save face for him, the party & undo the injustices. Re your comment on subpoenas. A few journos have asked me but I don't understand what's so important about that?

Edited

He's done everything in his power to make me disappear. He's never apologised, never admitted any wrongdoing other than not telling the party room first & never prioritised anything or anyone above his own leadership position.

That's the truth.

He's relentlessly & maliciously defamed, & undermined me.

Thanks Moira

Sorry for getting emotional. His constant lies & my restrictions on disproving them are just so upsetting.

Hope the article goes well for you

Sun, 10 Mar at 4:02 PM

So one last thing- off record to think about for this or the next article- JP has made the case that the majority of the partyroom don't want me back. The question is why? Is it because they agree JP did nothing wrong & they literally believe I have Nazi affiliations? Or is it because they're doing horse trades & profiting off their own participation in a heinous act. We all know the answer. So just imagine how far my fury spreads and ask yourself again whether I'm going to give a single stuff about protecting any of them if I'm driven to court TWICE (once for JP & DS, once for the PLP expulsion motions- which are defamatory).

Victorians (and Lib members) deserve an opposition team who are at least close to being morally worthy of public office.

Personally- I can't see it. Anyway, I'll look to the article with interest.

Mon, 11 Mar at 7:51 AM

Your article is inaccurate.

I said we almost agreed to wording.

And I said that the expulsion motions were unacceptable to me because they are defamatory not because I'm petulant & holding them to ransom. If they don't want me on their team- fine- but those expulsion motions will be obliterated voluntarily or involuntarily just like JPs lies.

Edited

Hi Moira. I understand the distinction but the expulsion motion is also the administrative barrier to you returning to the party room. That is the major sticking point.

No it isn't. My case is entirely separate. Negotiations on party-room re-entry failed ages ago.

I've known it for months. I've moved on. I'll have to re run for my own preselection in 2 years. I know this.

I simply do not understand how to be any clearer. My issue is full exoneration & that can't happen with those defamatory motions standing. It's not my fault they'll all be exposed as disgusting malicious cowards for doing it in the first place & for not voluntarily undoing it.

I told you that I knew they'd likely irretrievably ruined my career. It's my children I am focused on & I will burn through anything & anyone in my way. Then I'll continue doing the same thing to get safeguards and evidence based care back for women & kids. JP is a product of the organisation lol. I am the \*exact\* cohort they need to flip in order to win.

And I'll say it one last time- I am in this as a mother- not an MP.

I said all this... anyway. Whatever.

All off record for background as usual

Of course. Again, I do understand your argument from a legal sense but politically, Pesutto needs to convince the party room to have you back in order for them to agree to rescind the expulsion motion. I do appreciate all the feedback.

Also JP has misrepresented 'negotiations'. We are not in those. He rejected/failed to accept our final offer just weeks ago

Edited

This does not end if I come back in. I want everything. This doesn't go away with a partyroom motion anymore.

I'm sorry but JP is liar. There are no negotiations & he's off to court no matter what.

They made it clear to me there is no political solution- and I listened.

Message received Moira. Loud and clear!

Mmm. But not reported. I'll just add his latest lies in this article to my case anyway. Have a great day

All off record

Mon, 18 Mar at 7:59 AM

JP has never ever not once offered to apologise.

Mon, 18 Mar at 9:41 AM

Good morning Moira. What made you think of that?

Ppl are pointing to your article to accuse me of rejecting an apology. He expressly, repeatedly refused to apologise. The story going around now (of course this is what JP wanted) is that I refused an apology to force my way back in. Which is a total & utter lie. Just making sure you knew without a doubt.

No need to respond to me, just making sure you knew. I wish a journo would ask him outright on camera.