NOTICE OF FILING

Details of Filing

Document Lodged: Outline of Submissions

Court of Filing FEDERAL COURT OF AUSTRALIA (FCA)

Date of Lodgment: 6/08/2024 9:56:11 AM AEST Date Accepted for Filing: 6/08/2024 9:56:21 AM AEST

File Number: NSD527/2024

File Title: FORTESCUE LIMITED ACN 002 594 872 & ORS v ELEMENT ZERO PTY

LIMITED ACN 664 342 081 & ORS

Registry: NEW SOUTH WALES REGISTRY - FEDERAL COURT OF AUSTRALIA



Sia Lagor

Registrar

Important Information

This Notice has been inserted as the first page of the document which has been accepted for electronic filing. It is now taken to be part of that document for the purposes of the proceeding in the Court and contains important information for all parties to that proceeding. It must be included in the document served on each of those parties.

The date of the filing of the document is determined pursuant to the Court's Rules.



BY EMAIL:

MWilliams@gtlaw.com.au; RDunn@gtlaw.com.au Mike.Hales@minterellison.com; Edward.Fearis@minterellison.com

Our Ref: PXD:2023413

Your Refs: MJW:RXD:1058625

1496352

1 August 2024

Mr Michael Williams / Ms Rebecca Dunn Gilbert + Tobin L35, Tower Two, International Towers Sydney 200 Barangaroo Avenue Barangaroo NSW 2000

and

Mr Mike Hales / Mr Edward Fearis MinterEllison One The Esplanade, Level 9 1 The Esplanade Perth WA 6000

Dear Colleagues

Fortescue Limited & Ors v Element Zero Pty Limited & Ors, Federal Court Proceeding No. NSW 527 of 2024

In advance of the Respondents notifying their position as to whether they seek to cross-examine any of Dr Bhatt, Mr Roper or Mr Dewar (i.e. the Applicants' witnesses on the discharge application), the Applicants consider it appropriate to notify the Respondents of their position as to cross-examination of the Respondents' witnesses on the discharge application.

Based on the evidence filed on the discharge application, the Applicants' position is that there should not be any cross-examination on the discharge application. However, if the Respondents seek leave to cross-examine:

- (a) Dr Bhatt, the Applicants will seek leave to cross-examine Dr Kolodziejczyk and Dr Winther-Jensen;
- (b) Mr Roper, the Applicants will seek leave to cross-examine Dr Kolodziejczyk;
- (c) Mr Dewar, the Applicants will seek leave to cross-examine Mr Masterman and Mr Williams.

So as to enable our clients to prepare written submissions in support of any application for leave to cross-examine (should it be necessary for our clients to make any such application, given the above matters), please let us know by no later than **12pm on 5**

Level 4, Macquarie Place
Sydney ISW 20
Australia

T +61 2 9293 1000
F +61 2 9262 1080
E law@dcc.com

dcc.com

Attention: Michael Williams

Rebecca Dunn Mike Hales Edward Fearis

Contact: Paul Dewar

PDewar@dcc.com

August 2024 whether your clients seek leave to cross-examine Dr Bhatt, Mr Roper or Mr Dewar.

Yours faithfully

DAVIES COLLISON CAVE LAW

Partner Contact

Michael Williams Rebecca Dunn T +61 2 9263 4625

Our ref

rdunn@gtlaw.com.au MJW:RXD:1058625



L 35, Tower Two, International Towers Sydney 200 Barangaroo Avenue, Barangaroo NSW 2000 AUS T +61 2 9263 4000 F +61 2 9263 4111 www.gtlaw.com.au

5 August 2024

By email: pdewar@dcc.com

Mr Paul Dewar Partner **Davies Collison Cave** Level 4, 7 Macquarie Place SYDNEY NSW 2000

Dear Colleagues

Fortescue Limited & Ors v Element Zero Pty Ltd & Ors - Proceedings in the Federal Court of Australia (NSD527/2024)

We refer to your letter dated 1 August 2024, received at 10:13pm.

That letter sets out a very unusual position; that there should not be any cross-examination on the discharge application, but nevertheless that your clients intend to cross-examine the Respondents' witnesses, if (and only if) the Respondents seek leave to cross-examine certain of the Applicants' witnesses.

In reality, the Applicants' position seems to be entirely focused on avoiding cross-examination of its witnesses, including by exerting pressure on the Respondents by holding over them a threatened consequence for their witnesses if the Respondents elect to seek to cross-examine the Applicants' witnesses. This is a highly unorthodox, internally inconsistent, and inappropriate way to approach this issue, and one which is divorced from any legitimate forensic purpose.

As for the request for early notification of witnesses for cross-examination, there was no provision for this in the orders that were made (which your clients had proposed). There was no suggestion when the matter was before her Honour on 1 August 2024, or when consent orders were circulated and provided to the Court, that there was any further notification step involved, and it is not appropriate for your clients to now seek unilaterally to impose such a step.

It is a matter for your clients, and your firm, to assess our clients' evidence and determine whether cross-examination of the Respondents' witnesses will assist the Court in resolving the issues for determination. We do not accept that an application for leave made by the Respondents has any bearing on the forensic decision to be made by your clients.

The First, Second and Fourth Respondents are currently considering their position in relation to crossexamination of the Applicants' witnesses (particularly considering the late filing of the Applicants' evidence). Our clients will notify the Court and the Applicants of their position by 10am on 6 August 2024 in accordance with the orders made 2 August 2024.



The First, Second and Fourth Respondents' rights are reserved, including to bring this letter to the Court's attention.

Yours faithfully Gilbert + Tobin

Michael Williams

Cilbert tolon

Partner +61 2 9263 4271

mwilliams@gtlaw.com.au

Rebecca DunnPartner

+61 2 9263 4625 rdunn@gtlaw.com.au

3450-0326-5071 v1 page | 2



BY EMAIL:

MWilliams@gtlaw.com.au; RDunn@gtlaw.com.au Mike.Hales@minterellison.com; Edward.Fearis@minterellison.com

Our Ref: PXD:2023413

Your Refs: MJW:RXD:1058625

1496352

5 August 2024

Mr Michael Williams / Ms Rebecca Dunn Gilbert + Tobin L35, Tower Two, International Towers Sydney 200 Barangaroo Avenue Barangaroo NSW 2000

and

Mr Mike Hales / Mr Edward Fearis MinterEllison One The Esplanade, Level 9 1 The Esplanade Perth WA 6000

Dear Colleagues

Fortescue Limited & Ors v Element Zero Pty Limited & Ors, Federal Court Proceeding No. NSW 527 of 2024

We refer to your letter dated 5 August 2024.

We reject your characterisation of our clients' position. Our letter was consistent with the parties' obligations to the Court and each other to efficiently case manage this proceeding.

Our clients' position is that consistent with the authorities it is not in the Court's or the parties' interests to allow cross-examination of any witness on a discharge application such as the present. Nevertheless, if a contrary view is taken, then our clients do not intend to be prejudiced and will adopt an equivalent position to that allowed to be taken by the Respondents in respect of cross-examination.

We reserve our clients' position to produce this correspondence to the Court.

Yours faithfully

DAVIES COLLISON CAVE LAW

T +61 2 9293 1000 F +61 2 9262 1080 E law@dcc.com

dcc.com

Attention: Michael Williams

Rebecca Dunn Mike Hales Edward Fearis

Contact: Paul Dewar

PDewar@dcc.com